Dear Sir,

I take the opportunity of one of my Colleagues going to Paris to thank you for the new edition of your Catechism of Political Economy which I have read with great pleasure and advantage. It was by some means or other delayed a long time; and I did not receive it till lately, or I should have expressed my acknowledgements before.

I am glad also of an opportunity of assuring you, in reference to a passage in one of your letters to Mr Ricardo, that I have not felt in the least offended of anything you said in your <u>Letters</u> to me. I considered them as in no degree deficient in respect for the writer whose opinions you controverted, and though they did not quite convince me

they did not impair my esteem for you, or make me think that I had lost yours. I believe I should have replied to them if I had not thought that I should have published a new edition of my work sooner; but domestic circumstances, and some new views on the subject of labour and a measure of value, which tend still further to confirm me in my former opinions, have prevented it.

I shall now I believe not publish a new edition till after next Xmas, when I shall have the honour of begging your acceptance of a copy.

I dined yesterday in my way through London at the Political Economy Club, where your name was mentioned with great respect as one of those who had mainly contributed to the adovancement[sic] of the science. The questions which you did us the honour to send for our consideration were only deferred, because five or six others stood before them in the order

of description.

M^r Haughton our Professor of Sanskrit at the East India College, and a young man of considerable information on Oriental subjects will have the goodness to convey this letter to you.

Believe me $\begin{tabular}{ll} With great respect and esteem \\ Your obed $^{[ien]t}$ humble serv $^{[an]t}$ \end{tabular}$

 $T \; Rob^{[\mathrm{er}]_{t}} \; Malthus.$